Applicant Docket No. 050021040

REMARKS

The applicant has submitted the foregoing amendments to the specification and the claims. The applicant respectfully submits that the claims, as pending after the entrance of this amendment are in condition for allowance and requests the Office to issue a notice of allowance.

Status of Claims

Claims 1, 12, 13, 20, 26, 51, 52 and 61 have been amended. Claims 53-60 and 62-74 have been canceled without prejudice and the applicant reserves the right to prosecute these claims in a continuation application. The amendments to claims 12, 13 20 and 61 were made to overcome objections raised by the Office. The amendments to claims 1, 26 and 51 were made to further distinguish the claims from the cited references. Four new independent claims have been created through these amendments, claims 12, 13, 20 and 61 and as such, the required fees are provided.

Specification

The Office has objected to the disclosure due to informalities, namely, updating the information appearing on page 12 and lines 23-30 of the specification. The applicant has submitted a substitute paragraph that provide complete information regarding the patent applications referenced within the text of the specification.

Claim Objections

The Office has objected to the claims indicating that the previous fax transmission included a strikethrough on each page of the claims making it appear as though some limitations were deleted. The applicant has identified this as a problem with their facsimile machine and the

Applicant Docket No. 050021040

crossed lines were not intended to identify text as deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The Office has rejected claims 1-11, 17-19, 26-35, 42-44, 51-60 and 66-68 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Number 6,489,975 to Patil et al in view of OmniSky.

With respect to claim 1 and the claims depending there from, namely claims 2-11 and 17-19, the applicant has amended claim 1 to include the limitation of automatically transferring information obtained from a web site and stored in a local database in the handheld Internet appliance to the second local application. The applicant submits that this element is not disclosed in the cited references and thus based on this alone, claim 1 is allowable. For the record, the applicant does not agree with the Office's position regarding the Patil reference rendering claim 1 as obvious and will address these specific rejections in a continuation application.

With respect to claim 26 and the claims depending there from, namely claims 27-35 and 42-44, the applicant has amended claim 26 to include the limitation of obtaining information required by the plurality of local applications and the plurality of web sites from another web site. The applicant submits that this element is not disclosed in the cited references and thus based on this alone, claim 26 is allowable. For the record, the applicant does not agree with the Office's position regarding the Patil reference rendering claim 26 as obvious and will address these specific rejections in a continuation application.

With respect to claim 51 and the claim depending there from, namely claim 52, the applicant has amended claim 51 to include the limitation of transferring from another website, at least a portion of the information required by the second local application. The applicant submits that this element is not disclosed in the cited references and thus based on this alone, claim 51 is allowable. For the record, the applicant does not agree with the Office's position regarding the

Applicant Docket No. 050021040

Patil reference rendering claim 51 as obvious and will address these specific rejections in a continuation application.

The remaining, non-amended claims depend either directly or indirectly from one of the amended independent claims and thus, are also in condition for allowance.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Office has indicated that claims 12-16, 20-25, 36-41, 45-49, 60-65 and 69-74 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The applicant appreciates the Office's indication of this allowability and has amended claims 12, 13 and 20 accordingly. Thus, the applicant submits that claims 12, 13 and 20 are in condition for allowance. As such, claims 21-25 which depend from claim 20 are also ready for allowance.

Applicant Docket No. 05002.1040

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits the claims as presented are allowable over the cited art and respectfully request the Office to move this case towards allowance. The applicant invites the Office to contact the undersigned at its convenience should the Office believe it would facilitate prosecution of this application. Applicant thanks the Examiner for consideration of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory Scott Smith Registration No. 40,819

LAVA Group Law by Smith & Frohwein, LLC P.O. Box 88148 Atlanta, Georgia 30356 Telephone: (770) 804-9070

Facsimile: (770) 804-0900

Applicant Docket No. 05002.1040

Please forward this to the party responsible for changing docket numbers.

Please change the docket number for the following case:

Serial Number 09/834,376
Filed April 13, 2001
In the name of David K. Rensin

To:

05002.1040